FILED - FNTERED ENTERED FILED LODGED - RECEIVED LODGED 1 JAN 27 1992 DEC 1 7 1991 2 CLÉRK U.S. DISTRICT COUG WESTERN DISTRICT OF WADRIN AT SEATTLE CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON DEPUTY 3 DEPUTY 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 5 AT SEATTLE LINCOLN LANE ADDLEMAN, JR., Plaintiff, CASE NO. C90-1WD 8 ORDER 9 WASHINGTON SENTENCING GUIDELINES COMMISSION, et al., 10 Defendants. 11 12 The Court, having considered the complaint and plaintiff's 13 numerous other court actions, does hereby find and ORDER: Plaintiff has submitted eight other complaints or petitions 14

(1) Plaintiff has submitted eight other complaints or petitions for writ of habeas corpus in this Court since 1987, as well as twenty complaints or petitions in the Eastern District of Washington since 19812. While this number is not of itself excessive, many of

¹Addleman v. Dolliver, C87-335B; Addleman v. Board of Prison
Terms and Paroles, C87-363T; Addleman v. Washington State Penitentiary, C87-1661M; Addleman v. Dailey, C88-661R, Addleman v. Marsh,
C89-534B; Addleman v. Washington State et al., C89-817C; Addleman v.
Merritt, C89-1430R; and , Addleman v. Reser, C89-1629R.

²Addleman v. Washington State Dept. of Corrections et al., C-82-339-JLQ; Addleman v. Kincheloe & Attorney General of Washington, C-82-844-JLQ; Addleman v. State of Washington, Reed, and Attorney General of Washington, C-82-845-JLQ; Addleman v. Reed, Kautzky, Spalding et al., C-83-635-RJM; Addleman et al. v. Reed, Kincheloe, and Smith, C-83-683-RJM; Addleman v. Kincheloe and Reed, C-84-480-RJM; Addleman v. Federline, C-84-126-RJM; Addleman v. Ponti, C-84-476-RJM; Addleman v. Hansen at al., C-86-134-RJM; Addleman v. Hansen,

ORDER - 1

45

AO 72 (Rev.8/82) these complaints are repetitious or duplicative. Plaintiff has attempted to attack his sentence not only directly by challenging the Washington Sentence Reform Act, but also by suing the governor, state legislators, judges, and others who participated in passage of the SRA or in formulating the sentencing guidelines. This complaint represents but one more such case. However, the documents which plaintiff submitted in this case are wholly unsubstantial and incomprehensible and reveal no basis upon which the Court can perceive any meritorious claims.

- (2) Plaintiff is placing a great burden on the Court by reason of the large number of filings and attempted filings, and the Court finds that plaintiff is abusing his privilege to proceed in forma pauperis. Plaintiff, may in the future, file actions only in accordance with the procedure set out in paragraphs (4) and (5).
- (3) The Court hereby adopts a special procedure whereby any future requests by plaintiff to proceed in forma pauperis in civil

ORDER - 2

B

O

, 11

Dever, Riveland, Glassley, and Kincheloe, C-87-292-JLO; Addleman v. Riveland, Kincheloe, Burt, Moses, and Hansen, C-87-329-AAM; Addleman v. Kincheloe, Dever, Hansen, Zohner, C-87-500-RJM; Addleman v. Board of Prison Terms and Paroles, C-87-498-AAM (transferred from Western District); Addleman v. Board of Prison Terms and Paroles, C-88-526-RJM; Addleman v. State of Washington, Gardner, Bail, Kincheloe, 1986 and 1987 Legislatures, et al., C-87-793-RJM; Addleman v. Riveland, Peterson, Montgomery, Sorenson, and Taylor, C-88-*-RJM; Addleman v. State of Washington and Supt. Blodgett, C-89-492-AAM/M; Addleman v. State of Washington, Kincheloe, Menke, and Erdman, C-89-533-RJM; Addleman v. State of Washington, Riveland, et al., C-89-527-JLQ; Addleman v. Judge Alan A. McDonald, C-89-746-JLQ.

³C-87-498-AAM; C-88-526-RJM.

⁴C-87-793-RJM.

rights cases or habeas corpus petitions in this district will be denied, except upon a showing of good cause to the Court's satisfaction as to why plaintiff should be permitted to sue on a particular cause of action at public expense. <u>Graham v. Riddle</u>, 554 F. 2d 133 (4th Cir. 1977).

- (4) When plaintiff seeks to file pro se and in forma pauperis any civil rights case or petition for writ of habeas corpus in the Western District of Washington, he must:
 - (a) Submit all forms required by Local Rules CR 3 and 103, and the Rules Governing Habeas Corpus in the United States
 District Court;
 - (b) Answer all questions on the required forms completely and directly;
 - (c) Additionally submit, with respect to any petition for writ of habeas corpus, specific, affirmative evidence that he has exhausted available state remedies with respect to the particular issues raised; and,
 - (d) Additionally submit, with respect to any civil rights complaint, a separate statement, based on factual allegations, showing good cause why he should be permitted to sue on the new complaint. Plaintiff must also certify that the claims have not previously been litigated by him in another case.
- (5) Upon receipt of any new case for filing, the Clerk shall direct the documents to the appropriate magistrate judge's office for determination of whether the filing complies with the requirements of this Order.

ORDER - 3

AÖ 72 (Rev 8/82) (6) The Clerk shall furnish copies of this Order to plaintiff
Addleman, to the Attorney General of the State of Washington, and to
each United States Magistrate Judge in this district.

DATED this 27th day of Am.

1992.

United States District Judge

ORDER - 4